## ORIGINAL

ммм. көПөудгуө.сот

2646-996 (202)

∃TIMIS D∀-

EX PARTE OR LATE FILED KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LIP

ALHEBSHIMAN YTIJIBALJ GƏTIML A

OPIGINAL 1200 19TH STREET, N.W.

SUITE 500

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036

0096-556 (202)

RECEIVED

**NOV 2** 1 2002

November 21, 2002

STEVEN A. AUGUSTINO
DIRECT LINE (202) 955-9608
E-MAIL: SAUGUSÜNÖÖKÖIIAY

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20054

Re: Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, CC Docket No. 96-98

REDACTED — FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Dear Ms. Dortch:

MUMBAL, INDIA TOKYO, JAPAN

MANILA, THE PHILIPPINES

AFFILIATE OFFICES

BANGKOK, THAILAND

JAKARTA, INDONESIA

HONG KONG

ввлаятся ветегим

UN ,YNA99129A9

TD, GROAMATS

CHICAGO, IL

LOS ANGELES, CA

INSONS CORNER, VA

NEM LOBK' NA

On September 25, 2002, SNiP LiNK LLC ("SNiP LiNK"), a facilities-based CLEC operating in New Jersey, Delaware and Southeastern Pennsylvania, provided several case studies demonstrating SNiP LiNK's impairment without access to enhanced extended links ("EELs") throughout its market areas. See, Letter from Steven A. Augustino to Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, filed in CC Docket 96-98 ("September 25 ex parte "). Each of the case studies in the September 25 ex parte described an actual customer of SNiP LiNK's that was served using a DS-1 EEL. In each instance, SNiP LiNK demonstrated that it had no economical alternative to serving that customer other than a DS-1 EEL.

During these meetings, Commission staff inquired as to whether SNiP LiNK's ability to provide telecommunications service also was impaired without the availability of DS-3 EELs. The representatives from SNiP LiNK indicated that they were equally reliant upon EEL attached additional case study involving a DS-3 customer that SNiP LiNK is in the process of installing (Attachment A). The customer in question here has several locations, each of which installing (Attachment A). The customer in question here has several locations, each of which currently uses several hundred Centrex lines. SNiP LiNK would serve this customer with at least one DS-3 circuit into each customer premise (along with additional SNiP LiNK equipment to one DS-3 circuit into each customer premise (along with additional SNiP LiNK equipment to

## KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Sceretary November 21, 2002 Page 2

ORIGINAL

hreak the DS-3 into the hundreds of voice chan als used by the cus mer). As shown in the case study, although the customer undoubtedly is large, SNiP LiNK is impaired without access to EELs to serve this customer.

The case study contains confidential information and financial calculations which might be used to identify the specific SNiP LiNK customer that is the subject of the study. Due to the sensitive nature of the infomiation contained in this case study, SNiP LiNK requests confidential treatment pursuant to the Commission's Protective Order issued March 27, 2002 in this proceeding. Consequently, Attachment A has been redacted from this public filing. The Attachment is being filed with the Office of the Secretary under separate cover letter. A confidential copy of Attachment A has also been served on Janice Myles of the Competitive Policy Division. Attachment A is available for inspection by parties pursuant to the terms of the Protective Order by contacting the undersigned.

In accordance with Section 1.1206 of the Commission's rules, an original and one copy of this letter is being filed with your office. If you have any questions concerning this tiling, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven A. Augustino

Enclosures

cc: Michelle Carey

Toni Navin Rob Tanner Jeremy Miller Mike Engel

## ATTACHMENT A (REDACTED)